Date: 15/12/2016



To the Chair and Members of the OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

SLHD Performance & Delivery Update: 2016/17 Quarter Two

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1. As part of the Management Agreement and governance arrangements for St Leger Homes of Doncaster (SLHD) an Annual Development Plan is produced in agreement with DMBC officers, the Housing Portfolio holder and the Mayor. This Annual Development Plan identified the key deliverables, outcomes, milestones and the measures by which performance is assessed. There is an agreed governance framework part of which is a quarterly report of key performance indicators to Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee.
- 2. This report provides an opportunity to feedback on performance successes and issues against the suite of 2016/17 key performance indicators.

EXEMPT REPORT

3. This report is not exempt

RECOMMENDATIONS

4. That Overview and Scrutiny Management Committee note the progress of SLHD performance outcomes and the contribution SLHD makes to supporting DMBC strategic priorities.

WHAT DOES THIS MEAN FOR THE CITIZENS OF DONCASTER?

5. As this report includes the current progress on the St Leger Homes Performance indicators the implications of the contents may ultimately affect the delivery of services to the people of Doncaster.

BACKGROUND

6. Appendix A contains the SLHD 2016/17 Quarter 2 (July to September) Performance Management Report.

- 7. Key elements to note are:
 - eight of the ten key performance indicators are on target (green),
 - two are within acceptable tolerance levels (amber), and
 - there are no performance indicators below target (red).

Further details explaining notable areas of performance is provided below. Commentary covering the performance against all ten indicators is provided at Appendix A.

8. **Performance measure:** Void Rent Loss – Percentage of rent loss through vacant dwellings (performing well against target – green)

At 0.96% for the year to date (£359,798), performance continues to be well within the end of year target of 1.19%, and shows an improvement on the 0.99% at the end of quarter one.

The performance for the first half of the year continues the improving trend from the latter part of 2015/16. Rent loss to the end of September in 2015/16 was 1.45% (£546,740), meaning performance this year has been almost £190,000 better.

The North and East areas of the Borough continued to perform well, and the South West continues to show the highest percentage of void rent loss. The average turnaround times for void properties remained steady at 48 days, which is the same as at the end of quarter one, an improvement compared with 56 days at the end of 2015/16. This can be clearly linked with the work to develop the new voids standard and process, which was piloted last year in the North and will be fully rolled out across the Borough during 2016. This new approach is expected to deliver a better quality product for tenants and a more predictable turnaround time.

Performance measure: Percentage of Current Rent Arrears against Annual Debit (performing well against target – green)

Quarter two (2.51%) showed a small increase in rent arrears compared to quarter one (2.49%), but this remains within the profiled target (2.58%) which would lead to delivering the year-end target (2.50%). This continues the positive trend from 2015/16 and is considered a good achievement bearing in mind the numbers of tenants impacted by the under-occupation charge and the recent introduction of Universal Credit.

The number of evictions remains at a similar level to last year, with 28 at the end of quarter two compared to 26 at the same point last year. Fewer evictions reduces former tenant arrears and void costs. The number of tenants with serious rent arrears (over £1,500) has also reduced from 143 cases at the end of quarter one to 138 cases at the end of quarter two, which is an improvement from the 170 cases at the end of April.

Over 3,500 tenants now choose to pay their rent via direct debit. Systems and processes are currently being tested in Q3 to make this a paperless process, which is anticipated to make it easier to encourage more tenants to pay their rent in this way. **Performance measure**: Days Lost to Sickness per Full Time Equivalent (performing well against target – green)

It is pleasing to report that sickness levels have improved during the last quarter and are now predicted to meet the end of year target of 7.9 days per full time equivalent (FTE) employee.

Performance in quarter one was amber, with a good start to the year deteriorating during June. Performance improved month on month during July, August and September, resulting in the year to date sickness at the end of quarter two being 3.83 days per FTE.

Both short-term and long-term absence levels improved, with long-term sickness reducing from 0.45 days per FTE in June to 0.28 days per FTE in September. The top two reasons for both long and short term absence continue to be musculo/skeletal and stress/depression/anxiety. Our analysis of stress/depression/anxiety suggests that this is mostly as a result of issues outside of work.

Performance measure: Scheduled repairs % of promises kept (near target - amber)

A total of 2,680 repair jobs were raised during quarter two, of which 6 were not completed within the target timeframe, meaning performance for the quarter was 99.75% against a target of 100%. Although considered amber, this performance is an improvement on the 2015/16 year end position of 98.85% of promises kept, and is better than Q1 performance which was 99.65%.

Close monitoring and management of this KPI will continue. Detailed analysis is undertaken to ascertain the reason why repair promises have not been achieved. These are discussed with the Service Manager and Team Leaders to aid learning and improvement. However, because of the way this KPI is calculated it is not possible to achieve year-end target of 100%.

Performance measure: Number and % of households maintaining or established independent living (near target - amber)

This indicator is taken as a snap-shot at the end of the quarter when there were 38 households supported to maintain or establish independent living. Our contractual target for the service is 40 households, which was met in both July (46) and August (44) and close to target in September (38). The dip under target in September was due to two customers who were unable to commit to completing their first support visit before the 1st of the month. It is only when this first visit has been completed and a plan produced that we can count them as being in service. These are now complete and the customers being supported.

We are continuing to improve procedures and working practices within the service to ensure that we provide effective support. We are analysing the reasons for unplanned departures to see if there is any learning to take forward.

OPTIONS CONSIDERED

9. Not applicable

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDED OPTION

10. Not applicable

IMPACT ON THE COUNCIL'S KEY PRIORITIES

 Outcomes	Implications
 All people in Doncaster benefit from a thriving and resilient economy. Mayoral Priority: Creating Jobs and Housing Mayoral Priority: Be a strong voice for our veterans Mayoral Priority: Protecting Doncaster's vital services 	Work of St Leger Homes of Doncaster impacts on Council key priorities, with implications on the quality of life for Doncaster Council's tenants and other residents and the communities they live in.
 People live safe, healthy, active and independent lives. <i>Mayoral Priority:</i> Safeguarding our Communities <i>Mayoral Priority:</i> Bringing down the cost of living 	
 People in Doncaster benefit from a high quality built and natural environment. Mayoral Priority: Creating Jobs and Housing Mayoral Priority: Safeguarding our Communities Mayoral Priority: Bringing down the cost of living 	
 All families thrive. Mayoral Priority: Protecting Doncaster's vital services 	
Council services are modern and value for money.	
Working with our partners we will provide strong leadership and governance.	

RISKS AND ASSUMPTIONS

12. Specific risks and assumptions are included in the performance management report at Appendix A.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

13. There are no legal implications of this report.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

14. In 2016/17 St Leger Homes received a management fee of £28.445m from DMBC. This is made up of £27.452m from the Housing Revenue Account and £0.993m from the General Fund to pay for the general fund services managed by SLHD.

HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

15. Not applicable

EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS

16. Equality implications are considered in line with the Equality Act 2011 for the delivery of all St Leger Homes services.

CONSULTATION

17. Consultation has taken place with key managers within St Leger Homes, the Lead Member for Housing and Senior Officers within the Council.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

18. Appendix A – SLHD 2016-17 Quarter 2 Performance Management Report

REPORT AUTHOR & CONTRIBUTORS

Stephen Thorlby-Coy, Head of Business Excellence, SLHD Tel: 01302 734451 Email: Stephen.Thorlby-Coy@stlegerhomes.co.uk

Susan Jordan Chief Executive, St Leger Homes of Doncaster

Appendices Table of Contents

Appendix A – SLHD 2016-17 Quarter 2 Performance Management Report



Appendix A - SLHD 2016-17 Quarter 2 Performance Management Report



St. Leger Homes Key Performance Indicator Summary

Key Performance Indicator 1 Percentage of current rent arrea	rs against annual debit						
Direction of Travel - ↓	Traffic Light	1					
At the end of quarter two, perform slightly above the year-end targe quarter one. Additionally, the nu tenants paying by Direct Debit th stands at 28 compared to 26 for	t of 2.50%. In monetary mber of tenants paying an this time last year.	y terms, this by direct de The number	was an in ebit is incre	crease of £	19,876 aga almost 500	ainst) more	
Key Performance Indicator 2							
Void rent loss (lettable voids) Direction of Travel - 1	Traffic Light 🥝	1					
There has been a big reduction of from 1.45% (£546,740) in Septer the end of year target of 1.19%.							
Key Performance Indicator 3							
Number of households in tempor Direction of Travel - ↔	Traffic Light	1					
The number of people moving in last quarter to 18. However the s has remained the same at 10.							
Key Performance Indicator 4 Number and % of households ma	aintaining or establishe	d independe	ent living				
Direction of Travel - \downarrow	Traffic Light 🛆		1				
The number we are supporting h below our contractual level of 40					n is just slig	ntly	
Key Performance Indicator 5 Analysis of complaints received ((month in arrears)						
Direction of Travel - 1	Traffic Light 🥝	1					
Performance in this area remains positive. 68 complaints were logged in August; this is 3 less than were logged in August 2015. Year to date service failure levels is at 18% and currently meets the year-end target of 20%. 16 of the complaints received in August were assessed as service failure.							
Key Performance Indicator 6							
Key Performance Indicator 6 Right first time Direction of Travel - 1	Traffic Light 🥝	1					
Right first time	-		5. This is ().2% better	than the sa	ame time	

Kay Darfarmanaa Indiaatar 7						
Key Performance Indicator 7 Scheduled repairs - % of promis	es kept					
Direction of Travel - 1	Traffic Light 🛆		1			
This indicator has been amende This quarter performance was 9 one and quarter two) and of the	9.75%. A total of 5,498	jobs have	been raise			
Key Performance Indicator 8 Gas servicing - % of programme	completed against plar	`				
Direction of Travel - ↔	Traffic Light	1				
The yearly Gas Servicing progravisited between April and the entited that were visited resulted in no a properties and at the end of the which are being managed throug Key Performance Indicator 8a Solid Fuel Servicing	d of September have re ccess on the first visit. quarter, there were 74 p	ceived a vis Subsequer properties w	sit. 2,287 p it visits hav	properties over the been ma	out of the 15 ide to all the	5,956 ese
The solid fuel servicing program services were carried out resultin than the same time last year. Al properties had a valid HETAS ce processed through our no acces	ng in 98% of the program I properties have been vertificate. The one prop	mme compl visited and	ete. This is at the end	s slightly lov of Septemb	wer perform per 99.86%	ance of
Key Performance Indicator 9 Days lost through sickness per F	TE					
Direction of Travel - 1	Traffic Light 🥝	1				
The year to date performance fo compared to quarter two last yea		ys lost per l	TE. This	is an impro	ved perforn	nance
September's performance saw a cases compared to June. Long and short term sickness reduced	erm sickness reduced f	from 0.45 d	ays in June	e to 0.28 da		
End of year straight line projection	on currently stands at 7.	65 days an	d within en	d of year ta	arget of 7.9	days.
Key Performance Indicator 10						
Percentage of invoices paid with Direction of Travel - ↓	in 30 days Traffic Light 🥝					
Performance at quarter two is 97		1 of 95%.				
An average of 400 invoices a we			end of Sept	ember.		